

Zooplankton diversity and physico-chemical conditions in three perennial ponds of Virudhunagar district, Tamilnadu

T. Rajagopal^{1*}, A. Thangamani², S.P. Sevarkodiyone³, M. Sekar⁴ and G. Archunan¹

¹Centre for Pheromone Technology, Department of Animal Science, School of Life Sciences, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli - 620 024, India

²Department of Zoology (UG), ³Post Graduate and Research Department of Zoology, Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College (Autonomous), Sivakasi - 626 124, India

⁴Tamil Nadu Forest Department, Arignar Anna Zoological Park, Vandalur, Chennai - 600 048, India

(Received: September 26, 2008; Revised received: February 10, 2009; Accepted: March 02, 2009)

Abstract: Plankton diversity and physico-chemical parameters are an important criterion for evaluating the suitability of water for irrigation and drinking purposes. In this study, we tried to assess the zooplankton species richness, diversity, and evenness and to predict the state of three perennial ponds according to physico-chemical parameters. A total of 47 taxa were recorded: 24 rotifers, 9 copepods, 8 cladocerans, 4 ostracods and 2 protozoans. More number of zooplankton species were recorded in Chinnapperkovil pond (47 species) followed by Nallanchettipatti (39 species) and Kadabamkulam pond (24 species). Among the rotifers, *Branchionus* sp. is abundant. *Diaphanosoma* sp. predominant among the cladocerans. Among copepods, numerical superiority was found in the case of *Mesocyclops* sp. *Cypris* sp. repeated abundance among ostracoda. Present study revealed that zooplankton species richness (R1 and R2) was comparatively higher (R1: 4.39; R2: 2.13) in Chinnapperkovil pond. The species diversity was higher in the Chinnapperkovil pond (H' : 2.53; $N1$: 15.05; $N2$: 15.75) as compared to other ponds. The water samples were analyzed for temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, alkalinity, salinity, phosphate, hardness, dissolved oxygen and biological oxygen demand. Higher value of physico-chemical parameters and zooplankton diversity were recorded in Chinnapperkovil pond as compared to other ponds. The zooplankton population shows positive significant correlation with physico-chemical parameters like, temperature, alkalinity, phosphate, hardness and biological oxygen demand, whereas negatively correlated with rainfall and salinity. The study revealed that the presence of certain species like, *Monostyla* sp., *Keratella* sp., *Lepadella* sp., *Leydigia* sp., *Moinodaphnia* sp., *Diatomus* sp., *Diaphanosoma* sp., *Mesocyclops* sp., *Cypris* sp. and *Brachionus* sp. is considered to be biological indicator for eutrophication.

Key words: Zooplankton, Physico-chemical parameters, Shannon's index, Species richness, Perennial ponds

PDF of full length paper is available online

Introduction

Limnology is an interdisciplinary science which involves a great deal of detailed field as well as laboratory studies to understand the structural and functional aspects and problems associated with the freshwater environment, from a holistic point of view (Adoni *et al.*, 1985). Aquatic biodiversity is threatened primarily by human abuse and mismanagement of both living resources and the ecosystems that support them. Most of the ponds are getting polluted due to domestic waste, sewage, industrial and agricultural effluents (Shiddamallayya and Pratima, 2008; Shekhar *et al.*, 2008). The requirement of water in all lives, from micro-organisms to man, is a serious problem today because all water resources have reached to a point of crisis due to unplanned urbanization and industrialization.

Water quality assessment generally involves analysis of physico-chemical, biological and microbiological parameters and reflects on abiotic and biotic status of the ecosystem (IAAB, 1998; Kulshrestha and Sharma, 2006; Mulani *et al.*, 2009). In ecologically, zooplankton are one of the most important biotic components

influencing all the functional aspects of an aquatic ecosystem, such as food chains, food webs, energy flow and cycling of matter (Murugan *et al.*, 1998; Dadhich and Sexena, 1999; Sinha and Islam, 2002; Park and Shin, 2007). The distribution of zooplankton community depends on a complex of factors such as, change of climatic conditions, physical and chemical parameters and vegetation cover (Rocha *et al.*, 1999; Neves *et al.*, 2003). Most of the species of planktonic organisms are cosmopolitan in distribution (Mukherjee, 1997).

According to Murugan *et al.* (1998) and Dadhich and Sexena (1999) the zooplankton plays an integral role and serves bio indicators and it is a well-suited tool for understanding water pollution status (Ahmad, 1996; Contreras *et al.*, 2009).

A number of study have been carried out on ecological condition of freshwater bodies in various parts of India (Gulati and Schultz, 1980; Rana, 1991; Sinha and Islam, 2002; Singh *et al.*, 2002; Smitha *et al.*, 2007), but southern part of Tamilnadu, the ecological studies of freshwater body is very scanty (Haniffa and Pandian, 1980; Smitha *et al.*, 2007). However, information on

* Corresponding author: deer_raj@yahoo.co.in

relationship between physico-chemical parameters and planktonic fauna is very limited (Ahmad and Siddiqui, 1995; Choudhary and Singh, 1999). Therefore, the present investigation attempts to study the zooplankton species richness, diversity and evenness in relationship between physico-chemical parameters in three perennial ponds of Virudhunager district, Tamilnadu.

Materials and Methods

Description of study site: Three perennial ponds were selected for this investigation, in which Chinnapperkovil pond (Pond I) is situated on the way to Madurai, about 0.5 km away from Sattur. It receives domestic effluents from Sattur town and surface run off from agriculture field during raining season.

A match factory and automobile workshop situated near the pond, dump the waste material and people who are unmindful of the environmental conservation, defecate near the pond. Nallanchettipatti pond (Pond II) is situated at Irukkankudi temple, about 8kms away from Sattur. It receives domestic effluents from Nallanchettipatti village and also it receives water from Arjuna river. This pond is misused for dumping the germinated grams after the "Mulaippari festival". Kadabamkulam pond (Pond III) is located at adjoining Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College, about 6 kms away from Sivakasi, and it receives domestic effluents from college canteen and college hostel and surface run off from nearby agriculture field during raining season.

Collection of samples: The pond survey was carried out from June 2000 to December 2000. Water samples were collected periodically in each second Saturday from the three selected ponds, during the early hours between 7.00 to 10.00 am. The plankton samples were collected by filtering 50 liters of water through standard plankton net (77 mesh bolting silk) and the concentration samples were fixed in 5% of formalin.

Physico-chemical analysis: Temperature (air and surface water) was recorded on the spot using Centigrade Thermometer. The pH of the water samples was measured by using the gun pH meter on the spot. Physico-chemical analysis (electrical conductivity, alkalinity, salinity, phosphate, calcium hardness, magnesium hardness, total hardness, dissolved oxygen and biological oxygen demand) of the sample was done according to standard methods (APHA, 1975).

Biological analysis: Zooplankton species identification was done with the help of standard references (Alfred *et al.*, 1973; Adoni *et al.*, 1985). The quantitative analysis of planktonic organisms was carried out using Sedgwick Rafter plankton counting cell in accordance to Welch (1948).

Community structure analysis: Three indices were used to obtain the estimation of species diversity, species richness and species evenness.

1. Shannon and Weaver (1949) and Simpson (1949) diversity index value was obtained using the following equation:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i^2 (\log P_i) \text{ (Shannon's index)}$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i^2 \text{ (Simpson index)}$$

Where

P_i is the proportion of the first species. The proportions are given $P_i = n_i/N$

2. Species richness (R_1 and R_2) was obtained using the equation.

$$R_1 = (S - 1) / \log N \text{ (Margalef, 1951)}$$

$$R_2 = S \sqrt{n} \text{ (Menhinick, 1964)}$$

Where:

R is the index of species richness

S = total number of species

N = total number of individuals

3. Species equitability or evenness was determined by using the expression of Pielou (1966) and Sheldon (1969).

$$E_1 = \frac{N_1}{N_0} \text{ (Pielou evenness)}$$

$$E_1 = \frac{N_1}{N_0} \text{ (Sheldon evenness)}$$

Where:

N_0 = number of species on the sample

N_1 = number of abundant species in the sample

4. The correlation co-efficient "r" is calculated by the method of Birader (1988).

$$R = \frac{(1/n) \sum xy - \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

Where :

\bar{x} = mean of x

\bar{y} = mean of y

σ_x = standard deviation of x

σ_y = standard deviation of y

n = number of paired observation

Results and Discussion

Species diversity indices such as species richness and evenness were studied in order to measure the status of water quality in three perennial ponds and relationship that exists between the physico-chemical characteristics. Data obtained from the study indicates that a total of 47 zooplankton species were recorded in three ponds comprising of 24 species of rotifers, 9 copepods, 8 cladocerans, 4 ostracods and 2 species of protozoa. High number

Table - 1: Zooplankton density in the water sample of three perennial ponds during the study period

Zooplankton (Unit l ⁻¹)	Pond I							Pond II							Pond III						
	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	J	A	S	O	N	D
Protozoa																					
<i>Vorticella</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Euglypha</i> sp.	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rotifera																					
<i>Brachionus calyciflorus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>B. quadridentatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
<i>B. forticula</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
<i>B. angularis</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>B. falcatus</i>	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>B. caudatus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>B. urceolaris</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Euchlanis</i> sp.	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Horella brehmi</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Keratella tropica</i>	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>K. cochlearis</i>	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lepadella</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Monostyla quadridentatus</i>	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Mytilina</i> sp.	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Notholca</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Trichotria</i> sp.	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Trichocera rattus</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Testudinella patina</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Asplanchna brightwelli</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Lecane lunaris</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>L. papuana</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>L. bulla</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Platyias patulus</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Conochilus</i> sp.	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladocera																					
<i>Alonella</i> sp.	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Bosmina longirostris</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Daphnia carinata</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D. similis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Diaphanosoma</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Leydigia</i> sp.	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Moina</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Moina daphnia</i>	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Copepoda																					
<i>Calonoid copepod</i>	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Heleodiptomus viduus</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Mesocyclops hyalinus</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>M. leuckartii</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Diaptomus</i> sp.	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Tropocyclops</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spicodiptomus chilospinus</i>	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Thermocyclops</i> sp.	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>T. crassus</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ostracoda																					
<i>Cypris</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Stenocypris malcolmsoni</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Cyprinotus</i> sp.1	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Cyprinotus</i> sp.2	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total number of species	41	46	33	19	11	25	34	31	38	21	15	15	19	32	22	20	18	9	10	17	22

J = June, J = July, A = August, S = September, O = October, N = November, D = December

Table - 2: Monthly variation of species content belonging to the different group of zooplankton in three perennial ponds

Months	Pond I					Pond II					Pond III				
	Class					Class					Class				
	PR	RO	CL	CO	OS	PR	RO	CL	CO	OS	PR	RO	CL	CO	OS
June	1	19	8	9	4	2	14	7	5	3	1	9	6	3	3
July	2	24	8	8	4	2	18	8	6	4	1	11	4	2	2
August	2	18	5	6	2	0	13	5	1	2	1	7	4	3	3
September	0	8	4	4	3	0	8	2	3	2	0	5	2	0	2
October	0	6	4	0	1	0	9	4	0	2	0	6	2	1	1
November	1	12	7	4	1	0	8	5	3	3	1	10	3	2	1
December	2	15	6	7	4	2	13	7	6	4	1	10	5	3	3

PR = Protozoa, RO = Rotifera, CL = Cladocera, CO = Copepoda, OS = Ostracoda

Table - 3: Zooplankton species richness, diversity and evenness of three perennial ponds

Diversity indices Mean \pm SE	Chinnapperkovil pond		Nallanchettipatti pond		Kadabamkulam pond	
	Range	Mean \pm SE	Range	Mean \pm SE	Range	
Richness						
Number	27.85 \pm 4.36	13 - 45	22.71 \pm 3.11	12 - 36	17.28 \pm 1.85	13 - 25
R1 (Margalef's index)	4.39 \pm 0.11	4 - 4.91	3.82 \pm 0.24	2.57 - 4.44	3.35 \pm 0.35	2.11 - 4.47
R2 (Menhinick's index)	2.13 \pm 0.04	2 - 2.99	1.90 \pm 0.17	1.12 - 2.32	1.70 \pm 0.18	1.12 - 2.29
Diversity						
Lambda	6.38 \pm 0.19	5 - 7.22	4.11 \pm 1.08	0.06 - 6.69	1.30 \pm 1.16	0.12 - 5.31
H' (Shannon's index)	2.53 \pm 0.03	2.42 - 2.69	2.31 \pm 0.22	1.58 - 2.86	1.92 \pm 0.62	1.58 - 2.76
N1	15.05 \pm 0.49	13.20 - 17.48	13.97 \pm 0.40	13.16 - 15.88	12.44 \pm 0.62	10.06 - 14.83
N2	15.75 \pm 0.47	13.83 - 17.48	14.21 \pm 0.82	9.36 - 15.44	8.18 \pm 0.82	5.6 - 12.02
Evenesse						
E1	0.93 \pm 0.01	0.85 - 0.95	0.91 \pm 0.01	0.83 - 0.96	0.59 \pm 0.03	0.47 - 0.78
E2	0.83 \pm 0.1	0.75 - 0.88	0.79 \pm 0.05	0.53 - 0.88	0.56 \pm 0.03	0.44 - 0.76

Each value represents \pm SE of 7 observations. N1 = Hill's first diversity, those most sensitive to changes in rare species, N2 = Hill's second diversity, those most sensitive to changes in common species, LAMBDA = Simpson's index, E1 = Pielous evenness, E2 = Sheldon evenness

of zooplankton species was observed in the Chinnapperkovil pond (47 species) followed by Nallanchettipatti pond (39 species) and Kadabamkulam pond (24 species) (Table 1). Higher number of zooplankton species were recorded during June and July 2000 (summer), whereas low during September and October (monsoon). Rotifer is the richest group with 24 species, which accounts for 51% of total zooplankton population (Table 2). In all ponds, rotifer population was most abundant during June and July 2000. Singh *et al.* (2002) reported that higher rotifer populations occurs during summer and winter might be dominant due to hypertrophical conditions of the pond at high temperature and low level of water.

About 1700 species of rotifers have been described from the different parts of the world and 500 species (only 330 species belonging to 63 genera and 25 families have so far been authenticated) was described from Indian water bodies (Arora and Mehra, 2003; Kiran *et al.*, 2007). During observation, in rotifers population the numerical superiority was found to be high in the case of *Brachionus* species (7 spp.), which are considered typical for and most frequent in tropical environment (Nogueira, 2001; Mulani *et al.*, 2009). Genus *Brachionus* is one of the most ancient genus of monogonont rotifers and is represented by 46 species in India (Harikrishnan, 1995; Sharma and Sharma, 2001).

High mean value of Shannon's index (H') was recorded in Chinnapperkovil pond (2.53 \pm 0.03) as compared to Nallanchettipatti (2.31 \pm 0.22) and Kadabamkulam pond (1.92 \pm 0.62) (Table 3). Dash (1996) reported that high value of Shannon's index (H') the greater is the planktonic diversity. Low value of Shannon's index were recorded during September and October 2000 at Chinnapperkovil and Nallanchettipatti ponds, this may be due to high downpour recorded as 244.2 mm and 100.9 mm. This report gains support from Kannan and Job (1980) and Adesalu and Nwankwo (2008). Although Balloch *et al.* (1976) and Ismael and Dorgham (2003) found that the diversity index (Shannon's) to be a suitable indicator for water quality assessment.

Out of the three perennial ponds, the zooplankton species richness (R1 and R2) was found to be high in Chinnapperkovil pond (R1: 4.39 \pm 0.11; R2: 2.13 \pm 0.04) followed by Nallanchettipatti (R1: 3.82 \pm 0.24; R2: 1.90 \pm 0.17) and Kadabamkulam ponds (R1: 3.35 \pm 0.35; R2: 1.70 \pm 0.18). High Margalef's (R1) and Menhinick's index (R2) value was observed during June and July 2000. Mukherjee (1997) reported that the higher species richness (R1 and R2) is characterized by larger food chain (Dumont, 1999). The mean value of the evenness index ranges between E1= 0.85 to 0.95 and E2= 0.75 to 0.88 at Chinnapperkovil pond, E1=

0.88 to 0.96 and $E2 = 0.53$ to 0.88 at Nallanchettipatti and $E1 = 0.47$ to 0.78 and $E2 = 0.44$ to 0.76 at Kadabamkulam pond. Equitability (evenness) was relatively high during the raining season indicating a reduction in the plankton diversity at this period (Adesalu and Nwankwo, 2008). Peet (1974) has reported that species diversity implies both richness and evenness in the number of species and equitability for the distribution of individual among the species.

The physico-chemical parameters of water at three perennial ponds have been given in the Tables 4a, b and c. The air and surface water temperature ranged from 29 to 32°C at Chinnapperkovil pond, 28 to 31°C at Nallanchettipatti pond and 26 to 27°C at Kadabamkulam pond. Temperature is one of the essential and changeable environmental factors, since it influences the growth and distribution of flora and fauna. Water temperature ranging between 13.5 and 32°C is reported to be suitable for the development of the planktonic organisms (Kamat, 2000; Gaikwad *et al.*, 2008). Among the three ponds, the populations of zooplanktons are positive correlated with water temperature. Similar observation were made by Bhuiyan and Gupta (2007) and Park and Shin (2007). The increase in zooplankton population with the rise in temperature was observed during the recent investigation.

The pH value ranges between 7.00 to 8.70 at Chinnapperkovil pond, 6.53 to 8.20 at Nallanchettipatti pond and 6.50 to 7.20 at Kadabamkulam pond, it indicates alkaline nature. High pH value was recorded during June and July 2000 (summer). This may be due to low level of water and high photosynthesis of micro- macro organism resulting in high production of free carbon dioxide during the equilibrium towards alkaline side (Trivedy, 1989; Shiddamallayya and Pratima, 2008). According to Kurbatova (2005) and Tanner *et al.* (2005) the pH range between 6.0 and 8.5 indicates medium productive nature of a reservoir; more than 8.5 highly productive and less than 6.0 low productive nature of a reservoir. In the case of Chinnapperkovil pond the average pH value observed during the study period was 8.2 which indicates that the water is a highly production of zooplankton population.

In the present investigation, Electrical conductivity (EC) value ranged from 806 to 145 $\mu\text{mhos cm}^{-1}$ among the three ponds. High value of EC was recorded during June (summer) whereas low during September 2000 (monsoon) at Chinnapperkovil and Nallanchettipatti ponds. The high Electrical conductivity value was observed during June 2000, and this might be due to high temperature at less solubility and high degradation of organic substances. Among the three ponds, Electrical conductivity values showed positive correlation with zooplankton production, while negative correlation with dissolved oxygen. EC is found to be good indicators of the water quality (Abbassi *et al.*, 1996; Gaikwad *et al.*, 2008). According to Gaikwad *et al.* (2008) the dilution of solid substance in turn reduces the EC value alkalinity and zooplankton production.

Total alkalinity in three ponds ranged from 50 to 185 ppm. Maximum value was recorded at Chinnapperkovil pond (range:

85 to 185 ppm), followed by at Nallanchettipatti (range: 70 to 125 ppm) and Kadabamkulam pond (range: 50 to 87 ppm). High alkalinity values were recorded during June and July 2000, which is probably due to reduction of water. Alkalinity showed significant positive correlation with zooplankton diversity suggested that high value of total alkalinity coinciding with the high planktonic yield (Singh *et al.*, 2002; Sachidanandamurthy and Yajurvedi, 2006; Kiran *et al.*, 2007).

The value of total hardness fluctuation from 150 to 70 ppm at Chinnapperkovil pond, 105 to 60 ppm at Nallanchettipatti pond and 85 to 50 ppm at Kadabamkulam pond. High value of hardness was recorded during June and July 2000 (summer) whereas low during September and October 2000 (monsoon). High range of total hardness obviously was due to high loading organic substance, detergents, chlorides and other pollutants. Among three ponds, the total hardness showed significant positive correlation with zooplankton production, water temperature, alkalinity and phosphate, whereas significant negative correlation with salinity and rainfall. Similar findings were observed by Ratushnyak *et al.* (2006), Mathivanan *et al.* (2007) and Park and Shin (2007). Meshram (2005) has reported that calcium hardness is essential for normal growth and development of many aquatic ecosystems.

Estimation of biological oxygen demand (BOD) is an important measure to the oxygen required for the degradation of organic matter. The BOD value ranged from 1.5 to 3.75 ppm at Chinnapperkovil pond, 1.00 to 2.5 ppm at Nallanchettipatti pond and 0.8 to 2.00 ppm at Kadabamkulam pond. High BO value was recorded during June and July 2000. In Chinnapperkovil pond BOD values noted negative correlation with zooplankton, whereas in Nallanchettipatti and Kadabamkulam ponds BOD showed positive correlation with zooplankton production.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) is an important aquatic parameter whose measurement is vital in the context of culture of any aquatic animal as oxygen plays a crucial role in its life processes. Dissolved oxygen ranged from 2.5 to 7.5 ppm at Chinnapperkovil pond, 2.0 to 7 ppm at Nallanchettipatti pond and 2.12 to 5 ppm at Kadabamkulam pond. High concentration of DO was recorded during June and July 2000. This may be due to low solubility at high temperature and high degradation of organic substances. In Nallanchettipatti pond DO value showed significant positive correlation with zooplankton, while negative correlation with zooplankton at Chinnapperkovil and Kadabamkulam ponds. Ahmad and Krishnamurthy (1990) and Singh and Singh (1993) drew similar conclusion.

The findings of the present study indicate that the Chinnapperkovil pond exhibited higher levels of zooplankton species density as well as physico-chemical parameters than that of Nallanchettipatti and Kadabamkulam ponds. This may be depends upon the levels of organic enrichment. This relatively high zooplankton species density could be explained by eutrophication effect. *Monostyla* sp., *Keratella* sp., *Lapedella* sp., *Moinadaphnia*

Table - 4a: Correlation coefficient values among certain physico-chemical parameters at Chinnapperkovil pond

	AT	WT	pH	EC	RF	AK	SA	P	CH	MH	TH	DO	BOD	ZOOP
AT	-													
WT	0.87*	-												
pH	0.46	0.20	-											
EC	0.54	0.74*	0.64	-										
RF	-0.66	-0.51	-0.70*	-0.49	-									
AK	0.56	0.78*	0.54	0.94*	-0.79*	-								
SA	-0.87*	-0.48	-0.70*	-0.96*	0.73*	-0.91*	-							
P	0.48	0.06	0.52	0.54	-0.52	0.49	-0.63	-						
CH	0.49	0.42	0.61	0.41	-0.49	0.61	-0.44	0.87*	-					
MH	0.61	0.53	0.49	0.48	-0.87*	0.53	-0.52	0.93*	0.94*	-				
TH	0.49	0.44	0.51	0.37	-0.82*	0.57	-0.70*	0.88*	0.73	0.97*	-			
DO	-0.60	-0.42	-0.37	-0.56	0.80*	-0.58	0.63	-0.55	-0.31	-0.54	-0.37	-		
BOD	0.35	0.51	0.19	0.65	-0.37	0.55	-0.51	-0.04	-0.09	0.04	-0.08	-0.55	-	
ZOOP	0.45	0.43	0.50	0.20	-0.58	0.49	-0.24	0.79*	0.85*	0.76*	0.84*	-0.30	-0.21	-

*Significance at 5% level of significance

Table - 4b: Correlation coefficient values among certain physico-chemical parameters at Nallanchettipatti pond

	AT	WT	pH	EC	RF	AK	SA	P	CH	MH	TH	DO	BOD	ZOOP
AT	-													
WT	0.96*	-												
PH	0.12	0.25	-											
EC	0.51	0.55	0.71*	-										
RF	0.28	0.12	-0.74	-0.24	-									
AK	0.25	0.26	0.86*	0.68*	-0.45	-								
SA	0.17	0.10	-0.87*	-0.39	0.77*	-0.84*	-							
P	0.34	0.34	0.44	0.14	-0.52	0.68*	-0.42	-						
CH	0.02	0.03	0.56	0.11	-0.74	0.61	-0.55	0.54	-					
MH	0.09	0.15	0.73*	0.36	-0.51	0.66*	-0.71*	0.46	0.94*	-				
TH	0.06	0.10	0.66*	0.25	-0.73*	0.65	-0.69*	0.51	0.98*	0.98*	-			
DO	0.72	0.63	-0.30	0.35	0.63	-0.15	0.56	-0.12	-0.51	-0.54	-0.58	-		
BOD	-0.03	0.19	0.60	0.26	-0.64	0.28	-0.35	0.40	0.38	0.50	0.45	-0.42	-	
ZOOP	0.36	0.38	0.53	0.22	-0.55	0.66*	-0.46	0.87*	0.84*	0.77*	0.81*	-0.28	0.51	-

*Significance at 5% level of significance

Table - 4c: Correlation coefficient values among certain physico-chemical parameters at Kadabamkulam pond

	AT	WT	pH	EC	RF	AK	SA	P	CH	MH	TH	DO	BOD	ZOOP
AT	-													
WT	0.95*	-												
pH	0.78*	0.73*	-											
EC	0.76*	0.75*	0.45	-										
RF	-0.53	-0.83	-0.95*	-0.52	-									
AK	0.93	0.91	0.60	0.77*	0.09	-								
SA	-0.46	-0.48	-0.83*	-0.24	0.66*	-0.23	-							
P	0.45	-0.16	0.50	-0.45	-0.53	0.45	-0.38	-						
CH	0.82*	0.88*	0.79*	0.81*	-0.92*	0.85*	-0.41	0.81*	-					
MH	0.47	0.40	0.44	0.40	-0.76*	0.24	-0.93*	0.43	0.45	-				
TH	0.70*	0.58	0.97*	0.64	-0.67*	0.55	-0.77*	0.67*	0.33	0.41	-			
DO	0.07	0.73*	0.31	0.71	-0.51	0.87*	0.14	0.10	0.46	-0.12	0.28	-		
BOD	0.35	0.38	0.45	0.72	0.43	0.32	-0.06	-0.17	0.49	-0.25	0.42	0.42	-	
ZOOP	-0.25	-0.19	0.43	-0.07	-0.25	-0.43	-0.63	0.35	0.29	0.41	0.71*	-0.18	0.15	-

*Significance at 5% level of significance- AT = Air temperature (°C), WT = Water temperature (°C), EC = Electrical conductivity (μ mhos cm^{-1}), AK = Alkalinity (ppm), F = Rainfall (mm), SA = Salinity (ppm), P = Phosphate (ppm), CH = Calcium hardness (ppm), MH = Magnesium hardness (ppm), TH = Total hardness (ppm), DO = Dissolved oxygen (ppm), BOD = Biological oxygen demand (ppm), ZOOP - Zooplankton

sp., *Diatomus* sp., *Mesocyclops* sp., *Cypris* sp., *Diaphanosoma* sp., *Brachionus calyciflorus*, *B. quadridentatus*, *B. forficula*, *B. angularis*, *B. falcatus*, *B. urceolaris* and *B. caudatus* species were predominant at Chinnapperkovil and Nallanchettipatti ponds. On the other hands, the absence of above certain species like *Brachionus calyciflorus*, *B. falcatus*, *B. urceolaris*, *Keratella* sp., *Lepadella* sp., *Diaphanosoma* sp. and *Diatomus* sp. at Kadabamkulam pond was noted. Nogueira (2001) reported that above 15 species is the index of eutrophic waters and its abundance is considered as a biological indicator for eutrophication. *Brachionus calyciflorus* species was frequently observed during all months in the Chinnapperkovil and Nallanchettipatti ponds. This species is considered to be the indicators of eutrophication (Sampaio *et al.*, 2002). The results indicate that the Chinnapperkovil and Nallanchettipatti ponds water have already reached the eutrophication stage. Nogueira (2001) reported that *Brachionus calyciflorus* as indicators of sewage and industrial pollution. This study concluded that the water of Chinnapperkovil pond and Nallanchettipatti pond is highly polluted by directed contamination of sewage and other industrial effluents. Therefore, the water body has to be preserved for their intended use, a sustainable and holistic management planning is necessary for conservation of this pond.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr.G.Sivasubramanian (Former Principal) and the management of Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College, Sivakasi for facilities and encouragement. The authors wish to express thanks to Prof. Murugesan, Department of English, Bharathidasan University for their encouragement and critical reading of this manuscript. T. Rajagopal acknowledges UGC, New Delhi for the award of Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship.

References

- Abbassi, S.A., D.S. Arya, A.S. Hameed and N. Abbassi: Water quality of a typical; river of Kerala, Punnurpuzha. *Pollut. Res.*, **15**, 163-166 (1996).
- Adesalu, T.A. and D.I. Nwankwo: Effect of water quality indices on phytoplankton of a Sluggish tidal creek in Lagos, Nigeria. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, **11**, 836-844 (2008).
- Adoni, A., D.G. Joshi, K. Gosh, S.K. Chourasia, A.K. Vaishya, M. Yadav and H.G. Verma: A work book on limnology (Pratibha Publisher) Sagar (1985).
- Ahmad, M.S. and E.N. Siddiqui: Freshwater diatoms of Darbhanga. *J. Fresh. Biol.*, **7**, 41-48 (1995).
- Ahmad, M.S.: Ecological survey of some algal flora of polluted habitats of Darbhanga. *J. Environ. Pollut.*, **3**, 147-151 (1996).
- Ahmad, M.S. and R. Krishnamurthy: Preliminary observation of the growth and food of murel, *Channa marulius* block of the river Kali in North India. *J. Freshwater Biol.*, **2**, 47-50 (1990).
- Alfred, J.R.B., S. Bricice, M.L. Issac, R.G. Michael, M. Rajendran, J.P. Royan, V. Sumitra and J. Wycliffe: A guide to the study of freshwater organisms. *J. Madras Univ. Suppl.*, **1**, 103-151 (1973).
- APHA: Standard methods for the examination of water, sewage and industrial wastes. 14th Edn., APHA Inc., New York. p. 1193 (1975).
- Arora, J. and N.K. Mehra: Seasonal diversity of planktonic and epiphytic rotifers in the backwaters of the Delhi segment of the Yamuna river, with remarks on new records from India. *Zoological Studies*, **42**, 239-249 (2003).
- Balloch, D., C.E. Davies and F.H. Jones: Biological assessment of water quality in the three British river: The noth Esk (Scotland), the Ivel (England) and the Taff (Wales). *Water Pollut. Control*, **75**, 92-114 (1976).
- Bhuiyan, J.R. and S. Gupta: A comparative hydrobiological study of a few ponds of barak valley, Assam and their role as sustainable water resources. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **28**, 799-802 (2007).
- Birader, R.S.: Course manual fisheries statistic. *CIFE, Bombay*, **14**, 112-118 (1988).
- Choudhary, S. and D.K. Singh: Zooplankton population of Boosra lake at Muzaffapur, Bihar. *Environ. Ecol.*, **17**, 444-448 (1999).
- Contreras, J.J., S.S.S. Sarma, M. Merino-Ibarra and S. Nandini: Seasonal changes in the rotifer (Rotifera) diversity from a tropical high altitude reservoir (Valle de Bravo, Mexico). *J. Environ. Biol.*, **30**, 191-195 (2009).
- Dadhick, N. and M.M. Saxena: Zooplankton as indicators of trophical status of some desert waters near Bikaner. *J. Environ. Pollut.*, **6**, 251-254 (1999).
- Dash, M.C.: Fundamentals of Ecology, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing company limited, New Delhi (1996).
- Dumont, H.J.: The species richness of reservoir plankton and the effect of reservoir on plankton dispersal (with particular emphasis on rotifers and cladocerans). In: Theroretical reservoir ecology and its applications (Eds.: J.G. Tundisi and M. Straskraba). Internat. Inst. Ecol., Sao Carlos. pp. 477-492 (1999).
- Gaikwad, S.R., K.N. Ingle and S.R. Thorat: Study of zooplankton patter and resting egg diversity of recently dried waterbodies in north Maharashtra region. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **29**, 353-356 (2008).
- Gulati, R.D. and G.W. Schultz: Remarks on the resent status of limnology in India based mainly on Indian publications in hydrobiologia and suggestion for future approach. *Hydrobiologia*, **72**, 211-222 (1980).
- Haniffa, M.A. and T.J. Pandian: Energy flow in a tropical pond. *Tropical Ecol. Dev.*, **12**, 799-808 (1980).
- Harikrishnan, K.: Rotifer fauna of thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. *Zoos Print J.*, **10**, 13 (1995).
- IAAB: Methodology for water analysis, IAAB Editorial Board, Hyderabad (1998).
- Ismael, A.A. and M.M. Dorgham: Ecological indices as tool for assessing pollution in E1-Dekhaila Harbour (Alexandria, Egypt). *Oceanologia* **45**, 121-131 (2003).
- Kamat, S.V.: Hydrobiological studies of two temple ponds in Ponda Taluk, Goa. *Ecol. Environ. Cons.*, **6**, 361-362 (2000).
- Kannan, V. and S.V. Job: Diurnal depth wise and seasonal changes physico-chemical factors in Sathior reservoir; *Hydrobiologia*, **70**, 103-117 (1980).
- Kiran, B.R., E.T. puttaiah and D. Kamath: Diversity and seasonal fluctuation of zooplankton in fish pond of Bhadra fish farm, Karnataka. *Zoos Print J.*, **22**, 2935-2936 (2007).
- Kulshrestha, H. and S. Sharma: Impact of mass bathing during Ardhkumbh on water quality status of river Ganga. *J. Environ Biol.*, **27**, 437-440 (2006).
- Kurbatova, S. A.: Response of microcosm zooplankton to acitification; *Izv. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Biol.*, **1**, 100-108 (2005).
- Margalef, R.: Diversidad de especies en las comunidales naturales. *Publ. Inst. Biol. Apl.*, **9**, 5-27 (1951).
- Mathivanan, V., P. Vijayan, S. Sabhanayakam and O. Jeyachitra: An assessment of plankton population of Cauvery river with reference to population. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **28**, 523-526 (2007).
- Menhinick, E.P.: A Comparison of some species - Individuals diversity indices applied to samples of field insects. *Ecol.*, **45**, 859-881 (1964).
- Meshram, C.B.: Zooplankton biodiversity in relation to pollution of lake Wadali, Amaravathi. *J. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Monit.*, **15**, 55-59 (2005).
- Murugan, N., P. Murugavel and M.S. Koderkar: Freshwater cladocera; Indian Associ. of Aqua. Biologists (IAAB), Hyderabad. pp. 1-47 (1998).
- Mukherjee, B.: Environmental Biology, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi (1997).

- Mulani, S.K., M.B. Mule and S.U. Patil: Studies on water quality and zooplankton community of the Panchganga river in Kolhapur city. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **30**, 455-459 (2009).
- Neves, I.F., O. Recha, K.F. Roche and A.A. Pinto: Zooplankton community structure of two marginal lakes of the river Cuiaba (Mato Grosso, Brazil) with analysis of Rotifera and Cladocera diversity. *Braz. J. Biol.*, **63**, 1-20 (2003).
- Nogueira, M.G.: Zooplankton composition dominance and abundance as indicators environmental compartmentalization in Jurumirim reservoir (Paranapanema river), Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Hydrobiologia*, **455**, 1-18 (2001).
- Park, K.S. and H.W. Shin: Studies on phyto-and-zooplankton composition and its relation to fish productivity in a west coast fish pond ecosystem. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **28**, 415-422 (2007).
- Peet, R.K.: The measurement of species diversity. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Systematic*, **5**, 285-307 (1974).
- Pielou, E.C.: The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **13**, 131-144 (1966).
- Rana, K.S.: Impact of solar radiation and the aquatic ecosystem. A case study of soor sarwar, Agra. *Nat. Environ.*, **8**, 43-49 (1991).
- Ratushnyak, A.A., M.G. Borisovich, V.S. Vallev, D.V. Ivanov, M.G. Andreeva. and M.V. Trushin: The hydrochemicla and hydrobiological analysis of the condition of the kuibyshev reservoir littorals (Republic of Tatarstan, Russia). *Ekoloji*, **15**, 22-28 (2006).
- Rocha, O., T. Matsumura-Tundisi, E.L.G. Espindola, K.F. Roche and A.C. Rietzler: Ecological theory applied to reswervoir zooplankton, pp. 457-476. In: Theoretical reservoir ecology and its application (Eds.: J.G. Tundisi and M.Straskraba). Internat. Inst. Ecol., Sao Carlos (1999).
- Sachidanandamurthy, K.L. and H.N. Yajurvedi: A study on physicochemical parameters of an aquaculture body in Mysore city, Karnataka, India. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **27**, 615-618 (2006).
- Sampaio, E.V., O. Rocha, T. Matsumura-Tundisi and J.G. Tundisi: Composition and abundance of zooplankton in the limnetic zone of seven reservoirs of the Paranapanema River, Brazil. *Brazil J. Biol.*, **62**, 525-545 (2002).
- Sharma, B.K. and S. Sharma: Biodiversity of rotifers in some tropical flood plains lakes of the Brahmaputra river basin, Assam (N.E. India). *Hydrobiologia*, **446**, 305-313 (2001).
- Shannon, C.E. and W. Weaver: The mathematical theory of communication Urban. Univ. Illinois Press. Illinois. p. 125 (1949).
- Sheldon, A. L.: Equitability indices: dependence on the species count. *Ecol.*, **50**, 466-467 (1969).
- Shekhar, T.R., B.R. Kiran, E.T. Puttaiah, Y. Shivaraj and K.M. Mahadevan: Phytoplankton as index of water quality with reference to industrial pollution. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **29**, 233-236 (2008).
- Shiddamallayya, N. and M. Pratima: Impact of domestic sewage on fresh water body. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **29**, 303-308 (2008).
- Simpson, E.H.: Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, **163**, 688 (1949).
- Singh, S.P., D. Pathak and R. Singh: Hydrobiological studies of two ponds of Satna (M.P), India. *Eco. Environ. Cons.*, **8**, 289-292 (2002).
- Singh, S.P. and B.K. Singh: Observation on hydrobiological feature of river, Sonet at Diyapiper Bridge in Shahdo (MP). pp. 135-138 (1993).
- Sinha, B. and M.R. Islam: Seasonal variation in zooplankton population of two lentic bodies and Assam State Zoo cum Botanical garden, Guwahati, Assam. *Eco. Environ. Cons.*, **8**, 273-278 (2002).
- Smitha, P.G., K. Byrappa and S.N. Ramaswamy: Physico-chemical characteristics of water samples of bantwal Taluk, South-eastern Karnataka, India. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **28**, 591-595 (2007).
- Tanner, C.C., R.J. Craggs, J.P. Sukias and J.B. Park: Comparison of maturation ponds and constructed wetlands as the final stage of an advanced pond system. *Water Sci. Technol.*, **51**, 307-314 (2005).
- Trivedy, R.K.: Limnology of freshwater pond in Mangalore. National Symposium on Advances in limnology conservation of endangered fish species. Oct. 23-25. Srinagar Garhwal (1989).
- Welch, P.S.: *Limnology methods*. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York (1948).